

# "Fukushima's, Tokyo's and Japan's Fukushima-Daiichi" --Women intellectuals on energy and environmental issues

Noriko Endo, Ph.D.

Keio University, Graduate School of Media and Governance Project Professor

Provisional translation



What kind of Energy Economy Society should we choose?

-- There is no perfect energy that can be produced by low cost without releasing greenhouse gas and without depending on the resource from the abroad.

-- What should we choose in order to create an affluent society with continuous economic growth to our children?

- (1) Misunderstanding for the radiation damage from the accident at the nuclear power station
- (2) Possibility of renewable energy and public burden
- (3) Future nuclear power generation technology and possibility of export of the nuclear power plant
- (4) Study on the energy mix (Power source structures)

Twenty five of the people who are the mothers and working women from the various fields. Member includes: Astronaut (Ms. Naoko Yamazaki), Racing driver (Ms. Keiko Ihara), Corporate executive, Analyst, Fashion magazine editor-in-chief and so on.

# Free and open discussion on the round-table





Copyright © 2016 Keio University | 3



## Lecture of Sae Ochi, Director of Internal Medicine, Soma Central Hospital (2015.10.23)

We live in the environment where we are continuously exposed to the radiation. The cause that afflict people in Fukushima is the harmful rumor caused by the misunderstanding and ignorance and health damage by their living as refugees rather than the damage by the radiation.

#### Misunderstanding 1

- -- Eating whole boar meat with cesium 2000Bq/kg in a pot
- -- Playing for two hours in the playground with  $5.5 \mu \text{Sv/h}$
- -- Flight from Fukushima to Osaka
  - $\rightarrow$  Almost the same radiation exposure level

### Misunderstanding 2

Out of 30,000 people who visited the Minami Soma City hospital and Hirata central hospital, the people whose amount of the radioactivity of cesium-137 in their bodies exceeded 50Bq/kg was 9 people (0.03%)

People's interest in the radiation risks and disinterest in the actual damage.

"Isn't it us to cause reputational damage?"